





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,713	12/12/2001	Leonard Reiffel	TEMP2US	4928
23407 7	590 10/03/2003		EXAMINER	
DONALD F I		WINAKUR, ERIC FRANK		
CHICAGO, IL 60605			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		\ \( \Lambda \)			
Office Action Summan	10/018,713	REIFFEL, LEONARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI 1441 NO DATE (4)	Eric F Winakur	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 December 2001</u> is/ar		to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	,, <del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</del>	(DTO (40) D 11 ( )			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/018,713 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Figure 2 does not show element 12a. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1 - 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With regard to claims 1, 3, and 4, it is noted that the claims recite the phrase "being located in a subject body" that appears to include the subject body as an element of the claimed invention. It appears that Applicant should not recite such a relationship in the claims but rather should set forth the relationship as "being adapted to be located in a subject body".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,713 Page 3

**Art Unit: 3736** 

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim improperly includes a body part ("subject body is in ... a living human") of a living person as an element of the claimed invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deficis. Deficis teaches a temperature probe suitable for insertion into a living organism (column 4, lines 60 61) that includes a thermometer body defining a bulb and channel and including a thermally reactive fluid (as illustrated in Figure 1 and described in column 2, line 49 column 3, line 18). Optical measurements via optical fibers are performed to remotely determine the sensed temperature.

## **Double Patenting**

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/018,713

Art Unit: 3736

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

9. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,250,800. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim of the patent is drawn to a system that requires an implantable thermometer. The claimed implantable thermometer of the patent includes a channel, a bulb, a thermally responsive fluid, etc. that are recited in the claims of the instant application. Further, although the claim of the patent does not recite a thermometer "body", such an element is inherently necessary to define the physical structure of the channel and bulb. As such, any system meeting the limitations of the claim of the patent would necessarily include a thermometer element that includes all of the features of claims 1 and 2 of the instant application.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Hammer et al. teach an alternate fiber-optic thermometer. Litton and vanHooydonk teach various temperature monitoring systems that allow remote temperature monitoring; however, they do not use thermometers that include a bulb, channel, and fluid structure. The prior art does not teach or suggest a thermometer implant that includes a sequent temperature sensing arrangement. Further, the prior art does not teach or suggest a thermometer implant that includes a channel that is folded or a trigger mechanism for locking the fluid length.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,713

Art Unit: 3736

11. Claims 3, 4, 6, 8, and 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the

rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to

include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Eric F Winakur whose telephone number is 703/308-

3940. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30-5; alternate Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 703/308-3130. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/308-

0858.

Eric F Winakur

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 3736

30 September 2003